



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
(President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Center Works Division, PWD B&R,
Abohar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Centre Works, PWD B&R Circle,
Ferozepur.

Appeal Case No.5161 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	01-06-2021
PIO replied on	:	13-07-2021
First appeal filed on	:	24-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan
Respondent: Sh. Anand Mahajan (SDO), 9876216611

ORDER (First Hearing) :

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 03.11.2021. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. **Written Submission from Respondent:** A letter dated 07.01.2022 vide diary no. 418 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

ਹਵਾਲਾ:- ਆਪ ਦਾ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ: ACC/26706 ਮਿਤੀ: 01.06.2021

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਤੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਪੱਤਰ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮਿਤੀ: 01.06.2020 ਤੋਂ 01.06.2021 ਤੱਕ ਇਸ ਮੰਡਲ ਅਧੀਨ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਦਫਤਰਾਂ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਵਰਕ ਆਰਡਰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਕੋਈ ਕੰਮ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਾਹ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਮਝੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

3. After hearing the both the parties and going through the reply supplied by the respondent PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been suitably replied and the information/reply has been supplied to the best extent. Moreover, the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query, if any.

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
(President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Construction Division No 3, PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle, PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.5338 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	01-09-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	05-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan

Respondent: Sh. Satish Kumar (Clerk), 7973853138

ORDER :

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 26.11.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan acknowledged the receiving of sought information from the respondent authority and he requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
(President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Construction Division No 3, PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle, PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.5339 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	01-09-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	05-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan

Respondent: Sh. Satish Kumar (Clerk), 7973853138

ORDER :

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 26.11.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan acknowledged the receiving of sought information from the respondent authority and he requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
(President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Construction Division No 1, PWD B&R,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD B&R, Construction Circle,
Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.5340 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	01-09-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	05-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan

Respondent: Sh. Surinder Singh (XEN), 9814506842

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 26.11.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan acknowledged the receiving of sought information from the respondent authority and he requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
(President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Construction Division No 1, PWD B&R,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD B&R, Construction Circle,
Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.5341 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	01-09-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	05-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan

Respondent: Sh. Surinder Singh (XEN), 9814506842

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 26.11.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan acknowledged the receiving of sought information from the respondent authority and he requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
(President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Construction Division PWD B&R,
Pathankot.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Circle, PWD B&R,
Pathankot.

Appeal Case No.5342 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	01-09-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	05-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan
Respondent: Sh. Raghav (SDO), 9463360611

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 26.11.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan acknowledged the receiving of sought information from the respondent authority and he requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
(President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Construction Division PWD B&R,
Pathankot.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Circle, PWD B&R,
Pathankot.

Appeal Case No.5343 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	01-09-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	05-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Yogesh Mahajan
Respondent: Sh. Raghav (SDO), 9463360611

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 26.11.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan acknowledged the receiving of sought information from the respondent authority and he requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Harjeet Singh, (9814809717)

S/o Sh. Balbir Singh,
133, Urban Estate, Ram Tirath Road, Amritsar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Engineer (HO), PWD B&R,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secretary, PWD B&R, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.5641 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	19-07-2021
PIO replied on	:	17-09-2021
First appeal filed on	:	12-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	16-11-2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Harjeet Singh
Respondent: Sh. Nirbhar Singh (XEN), 9501025490

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 26.11.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Harjeet Singh acknowledged the receiving of sought information from the respondent authority and he requested to close the case.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Deepak Dharmani, (7888625552)

S/o Sh. Satinder Kumar,
Chamber No. 52, Tehsil Complex,
Sri Anadpur Sahib, Distt Roopnagar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, MC,
Sri Anandpur Sahib.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.80 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 21-10-2021
PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Sh. Deepak Dharmani
Respondent: Absent.

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 10.02.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today. As both parties are same, therefore a single speaking order is being passed in both the above mentioned cases

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Complaint Case No.80 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Deepak Dharmani** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

{Encl. RTI} First Appellate Authority
O/o EO, MC, Sri Anandpur Sahib.

– for compliance of the Order



Sh. Kulwant Singh, (9878973610)

S/o Sh. Pritam Singh,
VPO Pohir, Backside Peerkhana,
Distt Ludhiana – 141204

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.87 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 06-12-2021
PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Sh. Kulwant Singh
Respondent: Sh. Ramesh Kumar (ASI), 9915603000

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 10.02.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today. As both parties are same, therefore a single speaking order is being passed in both the above mentioned cases

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Complaint Case No.87 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant Sh. Kulwant Singh within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

{Encl. RTI} First Appellate Authority
O/o Inspector General of Police,
Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

– for compliance of the Order



Sh. Kulwant Singh, (9878973610)

S/o Sh. Pritam Singh,
VPO Pohir, Backside Peerkhana,
Distt Ludhiana – 141204

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.88 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 06-12-2021
PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Sh. Kulwant Singh
Respondent: Sh. Ramesh Kumar (ASI), 9915603000

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 10.02.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today. As both parties are same, therefore a single speaking order is being passed in both the above mentioned cases

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Complaint Case No.88 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant Sh. Kulwant Singh within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 06.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

{Encl. RTI} **First Appellate Authority** **– for compliance of the Order**
 O/o Inspector General of Police,
 Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.